HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION • TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

P.O. Box 2910, Austin, Texas 78768-2910 (512) 463-0752 • http://www.hro.house.state.tx.us

Steering Committee:

Bill Callegari, Chairman Alma Allen, Vice Chairman

Rafael Anchia Drew Darby Joe Deshotel

Joe Farias Harvey Hilderbran Donna Howard Susan King George Lavender

Tryon Lewis J.M. Lozano

Eddie Lucio III Diane Patrick Joe Pickett

HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

daily floor report

Tuesday, March 19, 2013 83rd Legislature, Number 36 The House convenes at 10 a.m.

Two bills have been set on the daily calendar for second reading consideration today:

HB 1000 by Oliveira Creating a new university in South Texas within the UT System 1
HB 1263 by Lewis Delaying the abolishment of small claims courts until August 31, 2013 7

The following House committees had public hearings scheduled for 8 a.m.: Natural Resources in Room E2.010 and Transportation in Room E2.012. The following House committees have public hearings scheduled for 10:30 a.m. or on adjournment: Business and Industry in Room E2.014; Criminal Jurisprudence in Room E2.016; and Environmental Regulation in Room E1.026. The House Human Services Committee has a public hearing scheduled for 11:30 a.m. or on adjournment in Room E2.030. The House Licensing and Administrative Procedures Committee has a public hearing scheduled for noon or on adjournment in Room E1.010. The Select Committee on Transparency in State Agency Operations has a public hearing scheduled for 1 p.m. or or on adjournment in JHR 140. The following House committees have public hearings scheduled for 2 p.m. or on adjournment: Insurance in Room E2.026 and Public Education in Room E2.036.

Bill Callegari Chairman

83(R) - 36

3/19/2013

HB 1000 Oliveira, Branch, Canales, et al. (CSHB 1000 by Branch)

SUBJECT: Creating a new university in South Texas within the UT System

COMMITTEE: Higher Education — committee substitute recommended

VOTE: 8 ayes — Branch, Patrick, Alonzo, Darby, Howard, Martinez, Murphy,

Raney

0 nays

1 absent — Clardy

WITNESSES: For — Chris Boswell, City of Harlingen; Rep. Terry Canales; (*Registered*,

> but did not testify: Ramon Garcia; Ramiro Garza, City of Edinburg; Gloria Leal, Mexican American Bar Association of Texas; Israel Rocha, Doctors

Hospital at Renaissance; Nelson Salinas, Texas Association of Business)

Against — None

On — Francisco Cigarroa, University of Texas System; John Fitzpatrick, Educate Texas, Communities Foundation of Texas; Juliet V. Garcia, University of Texas at Brownsville; Robert Nelsen, University of Texas-Pan American; (Registered, but did not testify: Macgregor Stephenson,

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board)

DIGEST: HB 1000 would create a new university in South Texas within the

> University of Texas System. It would provide for the UT System to abolish two universities: the University of Texas at Brownsville and the University of Texas-Pan American. The new university would include a

medical school, a Center for Border Economic and Enterprise

Development and a Texas Academy for Mathematics and Science. If more than two-thirds of each chamber were to pass the bill, the new university would be eligible for appropriations from the Permanent University Fund

(PUF).

Creation of a new university. The new university would be a general academic teaching institution under the governance of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System. The UT board of regents

would name the new university.

The university would include:

- an academic campus in Cameron County;
- an academic campus in Hidalgo County;
- an academic center in Starr County;
- the facilities currently operated by the Lower Rio Grande Valley Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC); and
- the medical school and other programs authorized for a University of Texas Health Science Center-South Texas by SB 98 by Lucio (81st Legislature, regular session).

The UT board of regents would equitably allocate the primary facilities and operations of the university among Cameron, Hidalgo, and Starr counties. The new medical school's medical and research programs would have a substantial presence in Hidalgo and Cameron counties.

The new university could award bachelor's, master's, doctoral, and medical degrees. The university would not be allowed to create a department, school, or degree program without the prior approval of the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board except for those previously approved for UT-Pan American or UT-Brownsville or authorized by law.

Abolishing UT-Pan American and UT-Brownsville. HB 1000 would abolish the University of Texas-Pan American and the University of Texas at Brownsville. The UT board of regents would determine the actual date to abolish the schools and would establish procedures to wind up each institution's remaining business.

The new university would hire as many of the faculty and staff of the abolished schools as was practical. A student already admitted to or enrolled in one of the abolished schools would be entitled to admission to the new university.

Participation in the PUF. If passed with a two-thirds vote of each chamber of the Legislature, HB 1000 would allow the new university to receive funding from the PUF.

Medical school advisory group. HB 1000 would create a temporary medical school advisory group that would assist the UT board of regents

in designing and establishing the new university's medical school. The advisory group would help with site selection, design, and development of the medical school and would solicit input from stakeholder groups. The UT board regents would select the advisory group members. The group would be dissolved once it reported findings to the UT board of regents and the regents determined the purposes of the group had been achieved.

Center for Border Economic and Enterprise Development. HB 1000 would allow the new university to run a Center for Border Economic and Enterprise Development to:

- develop an economic database concerning the Texas-Mexico border;
- perform economic development planning and research;
- provide technical assistance to industrial and governmental entities and groups; and
- help coordinate economic and enterprise development planning activities of state agencies to ensure that the needs of the border region are part of a comprehensive state economic development plan.

The center would cooperate fully with similar programs at Texas A&M International University, the University of Texas at El Paso, and other academic institutions.

Texas Academy of Mathematics and Science. HB 1000 would allow the new university to run a math- and science-focused high school. The academy would provide gifted juniors and seniors a university-level curriculum for college credit. The academy would also offer the necessary courses for the students to graduate under the advanced high school program. Regular class sizes would be limited to a 30-to-1 student-teacher ratio. The ratio could be higher in limited cases, such as if a class were combined with a university class of more than 30 students.

The funding a Texas school district receives from the Texas Education Agency (TEA) would be reduced to account for students attending the academy instead of its own high schools. TEA would distribute these funds to the academy to support the education of those students.

Except for the funding mentioned above, the academy would not be subject to oversight by the TEA or the provisions of the Education Code.

Effective date. This bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2013.

SUPPORTERS SAY:

HB 1000 would consolidate UT-Brownsville, UT-Pan American, and the Regional Academic Health Center into a single institution, make the institution eligible for a superior method of funding, and attach a new medical school. This reorganization would create efficiencies and bring educational and economic opportunities to the Rio Grande Valley that do not now exist there. A new comprehensive research university is necessary to address the needs of the rapidly growing Valley population, which is already educationally and medically underserved.

The new university would be an economic engine in its own right and, by training students, would lay the groundwork for other businesses and industries to flourish. It would give South Texans needed educational opportunities to fill and create the high-paying jobs of tomorrow. The medical school would attract additional health care providers to a medically underserved region.

If passed by a two-thirds vote in each chamber, HB 1000 would make the new university eligible for support from the Permanent University Fund (PUF) and less reliant on state general revenue. The PUF is an endowment fund that supports certain universities in the University of Texas and Texas A&M University systems through investments made with state oil and gas royalties. Moving support for the university to the PUF from the general revenue-funded Higher Education Fund (HEF) would free tens of millions dollars for other HEF-supported institutions.

Universities already supported by the PUF have not objected to the new university being added, as the value of the PUF has grown dramatically because of record income from its oil and gas holdings. Although the percentage share of the pie would be reduced, the value of each slice has grown so significantly that already-participating universities still would see increases in the value of their shares. The university's PUF share would come from the UT system's share, leaving the Texas A&M system's share unaffected.

The new university's increased size and budget would bring it closer to emerging research university status, eventually allowing it to compete for

additional UT system and state matching funds. The university initially would have 28,000 students, research expenditures of more than \$11 million, and an endowment of \$70.5 million.

HB 1000 would lead to savings on overhead and administration that could be spent on expansion, research, or new programs. Initial studies predict that consolidating the existing universities could save \$6 million in administrative costs. According to the Legislative Budget Board, HB 1000 would not have a significant fiscal impact on the state budget.

The medical school attached to the new university would not disrupt the medical education system in Texas. The medical school is already authorized by statute and would be developed even without HB 1000. The Higher Education Coordinating Board already has accounted for it and incorporated it into its plans for higher education in Texas. Sufficient residency slots would be available for graduates of the medical school. At least 150 new residency slots are expected to be created in the Rio Grande Valley region as a result of local efforts and existing demand.

While Texas Southmost College District currently has a partnership agreement with UT-Brownsville to transition students to the university, HB 1000 should not stipulate a relationship between the new university and the district beyond current agreements. This would allow the relationship between the new university and the college district to develop as the not-yet-appointed leaders of the university see fit.

HB 1000 would give the new university statutory authority to administer the existing Center for Border Economic and Enterprise Development and the Texas Academy of Mathematics and Science so they could be continued at the new university.

OPPONENTS SAY:

There is no need to create a new comprehensive four-year university in the Rio Grande Valley. With UT-Pan American, UT-Brownsville, Texas A&M Kingsville, Texas Southmost College, and Texas State Technical College Harlingen, ample opportunities are available for higher education in the region.

HB 1000 would not adequately address the shortage of doctors in Texas. A lack of residency slots could encourage recent graduates to study in other states, and the bill would not statutorily require the creation of new residency slots that its medical school graduates would need. About 70

percent of doctors practice medicine where they completed their residency, so Texas could waste a fortune to educate doctors only to have them leave Texas for their medical residencies and end up practicing elsewhere.

The bill should require the new university to more fully cooperate with Texas Southmost College, in much the same way that the University of Texas at Austin and Austin Community College cooperate, allowing easy transfer of credits and agreements on degree plans, among other things. This would allow residents in the Rio Grande Valley area the most efficient use of their local higher-education opportunities.

NOTES:

The committee substitute differs from the bill as filed in that it would prevent the new university from instituting a department, school, or degree program that had not been previously authorized by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, other than those previously approved for UT-Pan American or UT-Brownsville.

SB 24, the identical companion bill, passed the Senate by 30-1 (Schwertner) on March 13.

According to the fiscal note, the first year of the new university's medical school would cost \$1.9 million in general revenue based on an entering class of 50 students. The fiscal note forecasts a cost of \$7.7 million in general revenue once the medical school was fully enrolled with 200 students.

3/19/2013

HB 1263 Lewis (CSHB 1263 by Farrar)

SUBJECT: Delaying the abolishment of small claims courts until August 31, 2013

COMMITTEE: Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence — committee substitute recommended

VOTE: 9 ayes — Lewis, Farrar, Farney, Gooden, Hernandez Luna, Hunter,

K. King, Raymond, S. Thompson

0 nays

WITNESSES: For — Becky Kerbow, Justices of the Peace and Constable Association of

Texas; (Registered, but did not testify: Randy Erben, Texas Apartment

Association)

Against — None

On — Bronson Tucker, Texas Justice Court Training Center

BACKGROUND: Under HB 79 by Lewis (82nd Legislature, first called session), all small

claims courts are to be abolished on May 1, 2013, and the presiding justice of the peace to transfer dockets to a justice court in the county. The bill requires justice courts to follow rules for small claims courts developed by the Supreme Court of Texas. It requires rules be promulgated by May 1,

2013, that would:

• define cases that constitute small claims cases:

• establish rules of civil procedure for small claims cases; and

• establish rules for eviction proceedings.

DIGEST: HB 1263 would delay until August 31, 2013 the abolishment of small

claims courts and the transfer of their dockets to justice courts. The Texas Supreme Court would be required to finalize new justice court rules by

May 1, 2013, and the rules would take effect August 31, 2013.

The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take

effect September 1, 2013.

SUPPORTERS SAY:

CSHB 1263 would delay the implementation date for abolishing small claims courts and transferring their dockets to justice courts, giving the courts the time they need to prepare for new rules being developed by the Supreme Court of Texas.

The rules are open for public commentary until April 1 and the Supreme Court is expected to finalize them by April 15. It would be impractical to try to train the state's more than 800 justices of the peace and constables, as well as their clerks and staff, and to update technology and create new legal documents by May 1. Reserving enough time to thoroughly train court personnel and implement the rules is necessary and desirable because the rules are expected to help streamline substantive, procedural, and evidentiary practices for all of the state's justice courts.

Some have argued that the justice courts could be preparing now, but training personnel too early would be unwise because the rules could change before they are finalized. While justices of the peace and constables are familiarizing themselves with the proposed rules as they develop, it would be ineffective and costly to update technology and legal documents more than once.

OPPONENTS SAY:

Extending the implementation date to August 31, 2013 is unnecessary because the justice courts could be preparing now for the abolishment of small claims courts.

NOTES:

The companion bill, SB 576 by Duncan, was placed on the Senate Intent calendar on March 6, 2013.

HB 1263 differs from the bill as filed by retaining the May 1, 2013 date for the Supreme Court of Texas to finalize the new rules and specifying that they would not take effect until August 31, 2013.